

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 892–897

Microwave-assisted solvent-free synthesis of substituted 2-quinolones

Cheng-Sheng Jia,^a Ya-Wei Dong,^a Shu-Jiang Tu^b and Guan-Wu Wang^{a,*}

^aHefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale and Department of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR China
Department of Chemistry and Key Laboratory of Biotechnology for Medicinal Plants, Xuzhou Normal University,

Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, PR China

Received 5 September 2006; revised 10 November 2006; accepted 13 November 2006 Available online 27 November 2006

Abstract—A rapid and efficient method for the preparation of a variety of substituted 2-quinolones has been developed through the reactions of o -aminoarylketones with ester compounds containing a reactive α -methylene moiety in the presence of a catalytic amount of cerium chloride heptahydrate under solvent-free conditions in high yields. The rate and yield of the reaction are considerably improved by employing microwave irradiation.

 $©$ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The 2-quinolone (carbostyril) skeleton is an important structural moiety present in a large number of alkaloids $1-3$ and in biologically active compounds.^{[1–8](#page-4-0)} Some of them exhibit, for example, antioxidative activity, 1 nitric oxide production inhibitory activity, 2 and cytotoxicity against human tumor cell lines.[3](#page-5-0) Some of them are angiotensin II receptor antagonist, 4 glycine NMDA receptor antagonists, 5 endothelin receptor antagonist, 6 antiplatelet agents, 7 and antitumor agents.[8](#page-5-0) 2-Quinolones are also valuable intermediates in organic synthesis.[9](#page-5-0) The classic methods for the synthesis of 2-quinolones involve acid-catalyzed cyclization of acyl-acetoanilides (the Knorr synthesis),^{[10](#page-5-0)} Heck reaction,^{[11](#page-5-0)} and cyclization/rearrangement.^{[12](#page-5-0)} The Knorr synthesis stands out as the most general method. The growing importance of these compounds has led to the development of new methods for their synthesis, including solid-phase synthesis,¹³ micro-wave-enhanced synthesis,^{[14](#page-5-0)} acylation/cyclodehydration of anilines^{[15](#page-5-0)} and o -aminobenzophenones,^{[16](#page-5-0)} palladium-cata-lyzed carbonylative annulation of alkynes,^{[17](#page-5-0)} palladium-catalyzed amidation of o -carbonyl-substituted aryl halides,^{[18](#page-5-0)} palladium-catalyzed Ullmann cross-coupling of 1-bromo-2-nitroarenes with α -halo-esters,^{[19](#page-5-0)} electrocyclic reaction of o -isocyanatostyrenes,^{[20](#page-5-0)} cyclization of Baylis-Hillman adducts, 21 21 21 and cyclization of o -amino-functionalized benzoyl acetates.^{[22](#page-5-0)} However, most of these synthetic methods for 2-quinolones have their own drawbacks such as difficulties in obtaining the starting materials, expensive catalysts, low yields, prolonged reaction time, and/or drastic reaction conditions. Thus, development of simple, convenient, and efficient methods for the preparation of these important molecules still continues to be an interesting and attractive area of research in synthetic organic chemistry.

In recent years, microwave-assisted reactions have attracted much research interest because of the simplicity in operation, enhanced reaction rates, and great selectivity. Thus, microwave irradiation, which has become a powerful synthetic tool for the rapid synthesis of a variety of biologically active compounds, is used to enhance the rates of classical organic reactions.[23](#page-5-0)

Cerium chloride heptahydrate (CeCl₃ \cdot 7H₂O) has emerged as a potentially useful Lewis acid, and imparts high regioand chemoselectivity in various chemical transformations. It is also a cheap, non-toxic, and water-tolerant catalyst. Due to its unique catalytic properties, $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ has been extensively used for a plethora of organic transformations such as hydrooxacyclization of unsaturated 3-hydroxy esters,^{[24](#page-5-0)} Michael addition,^{[25](#page-5-0)} dihydroxylation of unreactive olefins,^{[26](#page-5-0)} and Julia olefination of cyclopropyl carbinols.^{[27](#page-5-0)} Recently, Bose and Kumar developed the CeCl₃ \cdot 7H₂Ocatalyzed Friedländer condensation of o -aminoarylketones with α -methylene ketones at ambient temperature in acetonitrile solutions to afford quinoline derivatives.²⁸ To the best of our knowledge, there has been no report on its use for the 2-quinolone synthesis. Although the synthesis of 2-quinolones from o -aminoarylketone and β -ketoester^{[29](#page-5-0)} or ethyl cyanoacetate^{[30](#page-5-0)} or ethyl malonyl chloride^{[9a](#page-5-0)}/diethyl malonate^{9b}/malonic acid^{13b} has been reported, it is limited * Corresponding author. E-mail: gwang@ustc.edu.cn to a substantial extent due to operational difficulties,

^{0040–4020/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2006.11.030

prolonged reaction time, and drastic reaction conditions. In continuation of our interest in solvent-free organic reactions,[31](#page-5-0) herein we report a simple and facile approach to the preparation of substituted 2-quinolones using catalytic amount of $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ under solvent-free conditions by microwave-promoted reactions of o-aminoarylketones with various ester compounds containing a reactive α -methylene moiety.

2. Results and discussion

Recently, we reported the synthesis of substituted quinolines through Friedländer condensation by both microwave irradiation and conventional heating under solvent-free conditions.[31e](#page-5-0) Various catalysts were screened for the Friedländer condensation of 2-amino-4'-fluorobenzophenone (1a) with ethyl acetoacetate (2a) under microwave conditions (300 W, 30 s, SANYO EM-350S microwave oven) in order to find the best catalyst. The use of equivalent TsOH, BiCl₃, SnCl₄ \cdot 5H₂O, and FeCl₃ \cdot 6H₂O afforded only quinoline derivative 3a in over 80% yield after irradiation at 300 W for 30 s (Scheme 1).[31e](#page-5-0)

However, when equivalent $CuSO₄·5H₂O$, CuCl, and $CuCl₂·2H₂O$ were used as the catalysts, the reaction didn't proceed efficiently at 300 W for 30 s, and just furnished quinoline 3a in lower yields, i.e., 35%, 50%, and 48%, respectively.[31e](#page-5-0) In addition, when 0.2 equiv of the catalyst, higher irradiation power (450 W), and longer reaction time (4 min) were employed for the reaction, another major product was formed besides quinoline 3a, which was obtained in 24%, 36%, and 35% yields, respectively. This compound turned out to be another type of product, i.e., 2-quinolone derivative (4a) and was obtained in 68%, 42%, and 46% yields with $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, CuCl, and $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ as the catalysts, respectively (entries 2–4 in Table 1). To achieve the highest yield of 2-quinolone 4a from the reaction of 1a with 2a (Scheme 2), other catalysts were examined under the microwave conditions to sort out which one had the most effective catalytic activity.

Typically, a mixture of 1a (1.0 mmol) and 2a (1.0 mmol), and the desired amount of catalysts was subjected to irradiation in a SANYO EM-350S microwave oven at a given power for 4 min. Subsequent work-up afforded 4a. The yields, microwave irradiation powers, and amounts of the catalyst used for the reaction of 1a with 2a are listed in Table 1.

From Table 1, it is obvious that the reaction could proceed to a certain extent in all cases, even in the absence of catalyst. $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ demonstrated superior catalytic activity and

Table 1. The reaction conditions and vields for the synthesis of 2-quinolone 4a with different catalysts

Entry	Catalyst	Equivalent	Power (W)	Yield $(\%)$ 51	
1	None		450		
2	CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O	0.20	450	68	
3	CuCl	0.20	450	42	
4	CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O	0.20	450	46	
5	$Cu(OAc)_{2} \cdot H_{2}O$	0.20	450	25	
6	NH ₄ Cl	0.20	450	72	
7	NH ₄ OAc	0.20	450	58	
8	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.10	450	79	
9	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.15	450	85	
10	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.20	450	90	
11	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.25	450	90	
12	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.50	450	91	
13	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.20	300	62	
14	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.20	600	88	
15	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.20	700	85	

appeared to be the best catalyst among the examined catalysts. When the amount of $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ was increased to 0.2 equiv, the yield was increased up to 90% at 450 W (entry 10 vs entries 8 and 9). More than 0.2 equiv of $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ did not improve the yields significantly (entries 11 and 12). The power level of 450 W was found to be the most appropriate one (entry 10) as lower power level gave lower yield (entry 13) and higher power likewise resulted in a slightly lower yield (entries 14 and 15). These optimization results prompted us to employ 0.2 equiv of $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ and 450 W of irradiation power for the further library synthesis of 2-quinolones 4 from 1a or 2-aminoacetophenone (1b) and various ester compounds containing a reactive α -methylene moiety (2a–m).

The reaction times, yields, and melting points for the reactions of o -aminoarylketones **1a** and **b** with ester compounds 2a–m are summarized in [Table 2.](#page-2-0)

As shown in [Table 2](#page-2-0), the scope and generality of this protocol is illustrated with respect to o -aminoarylketones (1a,b) and a wide variety of ester compounds containing a reactive α -methylene group such as β -ketoesters (2a–e), ethyl cyanoacetate (2f), and diethyl malonate (2g). Good to excellent isolated yields were obtained for all of the employed substrates. The reaction process was solvent-free and thus environmentally benign, only catalytic amount of $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ was required, and the experimental procedure was very simple. All of the products were isolated in practically pure form by simple filtration and washing, and, in most cases, no further purification was needed. The current method is fairly general, clean, rapid, and efficient, thus providing an expeditious access to the preparation of various 2-quinolones 4a–m.

Table 2. Reaction times, yields, and melting points of 2-quinolones $4a$ –m by the CeCl₃-catalyzed reaction under microwave irradiation conditions

 a All products were fully characterized by HRMS, 1 H NMR, 13 C NMR, and IR spectra.

B Reaction time for each product was optimized from several comparative runs.

c Isolated yield.

In order to draw a direct comparison between microwave irradiation and conventional heating, a few selected reactions were carried out at an identical temperature in a monomodal $Emrys^{TM}$ Creator microwave synthesizer as well as in a thermostated oil bath. The results are collected in Table 3.

Table 3. Synthesis of 2-quinolones 4 catalyzed by CeCl₃ \cdot 7H₂O at 160 \circ C^a under microwave irradiation using a monomodal EmrysTM Creator and conventional heating in a thermostated oil bath

	\mathcal{L}	4	Microwave irradiation		Conventional heating	
					Time $(min)^b$ Yield $(\%)$ Time $(min)^b$ Yield $(\%)$	
1a		$2a$ 4a 5		89	35	61
1a		$2e$ $4e$		93	50	65
1b	2a	4h	6	87	50	52
1b	2e	41		90	60	58

Reaction temperature was optimized based on the results in an oil bath. Time at which the maximum yield was obtained.

By comparison of the data in Table 3, it is obvious that the microwave irradiation protocol resulted in much faster reactions and significantly higher yields than the thermal heating process at the same temperature, reflecting the specific nonthermal effects under microwave irradiation conditions. Furthermore, under thermal heating conditions the reactions produced quinoline derivatives as the side products besides 2-quinolones, thus resulting in lower yields. It should be noted that while our work was in process Bose and Kumar reported the CeCl₃ \cdot 7H₂O-catalyzed Friedländer condensation of o -aminobenzophenone with α -ketoesters in solutions

affording quinoline derivatives in high yields.^{[28](#page-5-0)} However, our solvent-free reactions of o-aminoarylketones with aketoesters gave selectively quinolone derivatives under microwave irradiation conditions or preferentially quinolone derivatives under thermal heating conditions, reflecting different reaction mechanism under solvent-free conditions.

For the microwave-assisted reactions in a SANYO E-350S microwave oven (Table 2), the required reaction time could be even shorter than that in a monomodal EmrysTM Creator. One possible reason is that the microwave output power (450 W) in a SANYO E-350S microwave oven was higher than the power (300 W) in an EmrysTM Creator. It can be seen from the results in Tables 2 and 3 that good yields in a commercially available and cheap SANYO E-350S domestic microwave oven could be reproduced in a monomodal EmrysTM Creator and thus could be transferred to a more modern microwave synthesizer.

3. Conclusion

We have developed a novel and efficient approach for the rapid synthesis of various substituted 2-quinolones using $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ as an inexpensive catalyst. The notable features of this procedure are mild and solvent-free reaction conditions, operational simplicity, improved yields, and enhanced reaction rates, which make it an attractive protocol to synthesize polysubstituted 2-quinolones of biological importance.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

Melting points were determined on an XT-4 apparatus and were uncorrected. IR spectra were taken on a Bruker Vector-22 spectrometer in KBr pellets and reported in cm^{-1} .
¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz on a Bruker H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz on a Bruker Avance-300 spectrometer in CDCl₃ and DMSO- d_6 with chemical shifts (δ) given in parts per million relative to TMS as an internal standard. 13 C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance-300 (75.5 MHz) spectrometer with complete proton decoupling; chemical shifts are reported in parts per million relative to the solvent resonance as the internal standard (CDCl₃, δ 77.16 ppm; DMSO- d_6 , δ 39.52 ppm). All intensities in the 13 C NMR spectral data are 1C except where indicated. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a Micromass GCT mass spectrometer with positive EI mode.

4.2. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 4 with microwave irradiation in a SANYO EM-350S microwave oven

 o -Aminoarylketone (1a/1b) (1 mmol) and an ester compound (2a–g) (1 mmol) were mixed with a given amount of $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ or other catalyst, and introduced into a test tube (10 mL). The mixture was subjected to microwave irradiation at an output of 450 W or other designated output power for a given time (monitored by TLC). After it was cooled to room temperature, water (5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. Then the mixture was stirred for 5 min and the solid was collected by Büchner filtration, washed with H_2O (5 mL \times 3) and ethyl acetate–petroleum ether $(1:4, 3 \text{ mL} \times 3)$, and air-dried to give the product as white powder. The product was further purified by recrystallization from ethanol when necessary. For the reactions affording low to moderate product yields in [Table 1,](#page-1-0) column separation on a silica gel column was employed to get pure 4a.

4.2.1. 3-Acetyl-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2(1H)-quinolinone (4a). Mp 250–251 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.35 (s, 3H), 7.13–7.26 (m, 4H), 7.29–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.45 (d, $J=7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.56 (ddd, $J=8.3$, 6.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 12.44 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 31.40, 115.46 (d, $2J_{\text{C-F}}$ =22.0 Hz, 2C), 115.64, 118.93, 122.34, 126.95, 130.46 (d, ${}^{4}J_{\text{C-F}}$ =3.3 Hz), 131.02 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}}$ =8.3 Hz, 2C), 131.21, 133.58, 138.44, 146.16, 159.23, 162.12 (d, $^{1}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 245.9 Hz), 201.63; FTIR (KBr) 3449, 2995, 2846, 1701, 1648, 1602, 1551, 1509, 1497, 1478, 1431, 1377, 1280, 1225, 1158, 1075, 826, 761, 653, 644 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{12}FNO_2$ (M⁺): 281.0852, found: 281.0854.

4.2.2. 3-Butyryl-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2(1H)-quinolinone (4b). Mp 216–218 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.78 (t, $J=7.4$ Hz, 3H), 1.52 (sextet, $J=7.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.55 (t, J¼7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.13–7.26 (m, 4H), 7.29–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.42 (d, $J=7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.55 (ddd, $J=8.3$, 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 12.25 (s, 1H); $13C$ NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.15, 15.90, 45.04, 115.38 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{C-F}} = 21.7 \text{ Hz}$, 2C), 115.63, 118.94, 122.36, 126.84, 130.23 (d, $^{4}J_{C-F}$ =3.0 Hz), 131.17, 131.28 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}} = 8.4 \text{ Hz}$, 2C), 133.62, 138.42, 146.00, 159.25, 162.13 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-F}}$ =245.6 Hz), 203.69; FTIR

(KBr) 3406, 2968, 2875, 1713, 1646, 1606, 1553, 1501, 1482, 1435, 1381, 1281, 1226, 1159, 1099, 996, 916, 846, 806, 768, 641, 585 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}FNO_2$ (M⁺): 309.1165, found: 309.1159.

4.2.3. 4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3-isobutyryl-2(1H)-quinolinone (4c). Mp 232-234 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 0.83 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 6H), 2.67 (heptet, J=7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.04–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.43 (m, 4H), 7.56 (t, $J=7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.73 (m, 1H), 12.20 (s, 1H); 13C NMR $(75.5 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$ δ 17.90 (2C), 41.21, 115.97 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{C-F}}$ =21.8 Hz, 2C), 116.36, 119.50, 123.33, 127.50, 130.65 (d, ${}^{4}J_{\text{C-F}}=3.2 \text{ Hz}$), 132.08, 132.12 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}}=$ 8.2 Hz, 2C), 133.46, 138.82, 147.26, 160.10, 163.09 (d, $^{1}J_{\text{C-F}}$ =247.2 Hz), 208.59; FTIR (KBr) 3382, 2972, 2838, 1699, 1639, 1604, 1548, 1509, 1497, 1479, 1433, 1378, 1275, 1224, 1156, 1095, 1038, 1003, 822, 800, 762, 654, 560 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}FNO_2$ (M⁺): 309.1165, found: 309.1162.

4.2.4. 3-Cyclopropanecarbonyl-4-(4-fluorophenyl)- **2(1H)-quinolinone** (4d). Mp 264–265 °C; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.02–1.08 (m, 2H), 1.27–1.32 (m, 2H), 2.16–2.24 (m, 1H), 7.12–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.41 (m, 4H), 7.41 (d, $J=7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.55 (ddd, $J=8.2$, 7.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 12.15 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 11.18 (2C), 22.72, 115.08 (d, ² $J_{\text{C-F}}$ =21.5 Hz, 2C), 115.52, 118.83, 122.15, 126.78, 130.46 (d, $^{4}J_{C-F}$ =3.4 Hz), 131.10, 131.25 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}}=8.2 \text{ Hz}$, 2C), 133.95, 138.51, 146.09, 159.08, 162.06 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-F}}$ =245.8 Hz), 203.28; FTIR (KBr) 3004, 2850, 1688, 1644, 1605, 1499, 1385, 1225, 1162, 1043, 993, 773, 575 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{14}FNO_2$ (M⁺) 307.1009, found: 307.1006.

4.2.5. 3-Benzoyl-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2(1H)-quinolinone (4e). Mp 222–224 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.01 $(t, J=8.2 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.15$ $(t, J=8.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.21-7.32 \text{ (m)}$ 4H), 7.38 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.46–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.82 (d, $J=8.3$ Hz, 2H), 12.37 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, $DMSO-d_6$) δ 115.27 (d, ${}^2J_{\text{C-F}}=21.7 \text{ Hz}$, 2C), 115.76, 119.04, 122.38, 126.80, 128.70 (2C), 128.84 (2C), 130.10 (d, ${}^{4}J_{\text{C-F}}=3.4 \text{ Hz}$), 131.20 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-F}}=9.2 \text{ Hz}$, 2C), 131.26, 131.42, 133.61, 136.41, 138.79, 147.46, 159.53, 162.56 (d, $^{1}J_{\text{C-F}}$ =246.4 Hz), 194.19; FTIR (KBr) 3434, 2850, 1678, 1651, 1607, 1556, 1508, 1498, 1479, 1377, 1240, 1159, 826, 757, 687 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{22}H_{14}FNO_2$ (M⁺): 343.1009, found: 343.1001.

4.2.6. 4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2(1H)-quinolinone-3-carbo**nitrile (4f).** Mp 308–310 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.23–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.40 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.62 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 1H), 12.73 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 106.42, 115.26, 115.89 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-F}}$ =21.9 Hz, 2C), 116.17, 117.97, 123.02, 127.86, 130.05 (d, ${}^4J_{\text{C-F}} = 3.2 \text{ Hz}$), 131.11 (d, ${}^3J_{\text{C-F}} =$ 8.7 Hz, 2C), 133.80, 139.78, 158.39, 159.38, 162.83 (d, $^{1}J_{\text{C-F}}$ =247.5 Hz); FTIR (KBr) 3482, 2991, 2851, 2231, 1673, 1602, 1500, 1481, 1378, 1281, 1233, 1159, 842, 766 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{16}H_9FN_2O$ (M⁺): 264.0699, found: 264.0690.

4.2.7. Ethyl 4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2(1H)-quinolinone-3-carboxylate (4g). Mp $204-205$ °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 1.04 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 4.15 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.14–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.36–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.45 (d, $J=8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.56 (ddd, J=8.3, 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 12.14 (s, 1H); 13 C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.93, 61.55, 115.66 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ =21.7 Hz, 2C), 117.10, 119.56, 123.14, 126.66, 127.39, 130.66 (d, ${}^{4}J_{C-F}$ =3.5 Hz), 130.90 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C-F}$ = 8.2 Hz, 2C), 131.77, 138.60, 149.61, 161.22, 163.15 (d, $^{1}J_{\text{C-F}}$ =249.0 Hz), 165.60; FTIR (KBr) 3436, 2984, 2852, 1732, 1651, 1608, 1557, 1500, 1434, 1391, 1381, 1291, 1245, 1159, 1133, 1087, 766, 592 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{14}FNO₃ (M⁺): 311.0958, found: 311.0960.$

4.2.8. 3-Acetyl-4-methyl-2(1H)-quinolinone (4h). Mp 278–280 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.49 (s, 3H), 2.66 (s, 3H), $7.28-7.34$ (m, 2H), 7.56 (ddd, $J=8.3, 7.1$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, $J=7.8$, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 11.54 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 15.11, 31.29, 115.60, 119.14, 122.28, 125.62, 131.09, 132.88, 138.02, 143.65, 159.52, 203.50; FTIR (KBr) 3435, 2930, 2849, 1693, 1661, 1604, 1547, 1505, 1484, 1432, 1390, 1345, 1283, 1220, 1139, 1107, 1031, 906, 872, 750, 663 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{12}H_{11}NO_2$ (M⁺): 201.0790, found: 201.0788.

4.2.9. 3-Butyryl-4-methyl-2($1H$)-quinolinone (4i). Mp 208–209 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.05 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.81 (sextet, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.95 (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.27 (ddd, $J=8.2$, 7.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, $J=8.2$, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (ddd, $J=8.3$, 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J=8.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 12.72 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75.5 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$ δ 13.59, 15.15, 16.52, 45.04, 115.60, 119.16, 122.27, 125.53, 131.02, 132.82, 138.02, 143.54, 159.58, 205.82; FTIR (KBr) 3442, 2923, 2846, 1684, 1656, 1606, 1555, 1503, 1485, 1434, 1389, 1273, 1198, 1084, 1033, 986, 904, 875, 743 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{14}H_{15}NO_2 (M^+): 229.1103$, found: 229.1112.

4.2.10. 3-Isobutyryl-4-methyl-2(1H)-quinolinone (4j). Mp 226–228 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.25 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 6H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 3.38 (heptet, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.55 (ddd, J=8.2, 7.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 11.96 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d6) d 15.56, 17.53 (2C), 40.28, 115.60, 119.15, 122.25, 125.55, 131.01, 132.25, 138.05, 144.22, 159.72, 209.35; FTIR (KBr) 3430, 2971, 2852, 1703, 1645, 1609, 1559, 1506, 1485, 1433, 1393, 971, 749, 667, 600 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{14}H_{15}NO_2$ (M⁺): 229.1103, found: 229.1106.

4.2.11. 3-Cyclopropanecarbonyl-4-methyl-2(1H)-quinolinone (4k). Mp $188-189$ °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.08–1.17 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.38 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 7.27 (ddd, J=8.1, 7.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.38 $(d, J=7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.55 \text{ (ddd}, J=8.2, 7.1, 1.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.77$ (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 12.07 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d6) d 11.29 (2C), 15.47, 22.75, 115.55, 119.10, 122.20, 125.57, 131.01, 132.84, 138.12, 143.64, 159.52, 205.05; FTIR (KBr) 3442, 2954, 2873, 1697, 1659, 1602, 1502, 1429, 1385, 1370, 1268, 1080, 991, 909, 885, 751, 638 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{14}H_{13}NO_2$ (M⁺): 227.0946, found: 227.0938.

4.2.12. 3-Benzoyl-4-methyl-2(1H)-quinolinone (4l). Mp 262–264 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.39 (s, 3H), 7.18–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.48 (t, $J=8.1$ Hz, 3H), 7.61 (ddd, $J=8.0$, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, $J=8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 2H), 11.81 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6) d 15.61, 115.73, 119.15, 122.30, 125.36, 128.88 (2C), 129.05 (2C), 130.85, 131.10, 133.96, 136.50, 138.32, 144.63, 159.72, 195.74; FTIR (KBr) 3442, 2944, 2848, 1688, 1641, 1561, 1502, 1483, 1433, 1386, 1370, 1312, 1282, 1245, $1153, 925, 899, 820, 750, 689, 580$ cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{13}NO_2$ (M⁺): 263.0946, found: 263.0945.

4.2.13. 4-Methyl-2(1H)-quinolinone-3-carbonitrile (4m). $\text{Mp}>300 \text{ °C}$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.84 (s, 3H), $7.33-7.39$ (m, 2H), 7.68 (ddd, $J=8.4$, 7.1 , 1.3 Hz, $1H$), 7.80 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 1H), 10.98 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75.5 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6) \delta$ 18.22, 105.99, 115.61, 116.12, 118.08, 122.99, 126.53, 133.78, 138.97, 158.47, 158.80; FTIR (KBr) 3447, 3147, 3013, 2894, 2854, 2222, 1660, 1602, 1504, 1475, 1430, 1389, 1368, 1275, 1246, 1162, 1123, 863, 842, 756, 673, 663 cm⁻¹; HRMS (+EI) calcd for $C_{11}H_8N_2O$ (M⁺): 184.0637, found: 184.0636.

4.3. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 4 with microwave irradiation in a monomodal EmrysTM Creator microwave synthesizer

The experiments were carried out in a monomodal $EmrvsTM$ Creator from Personal Chemistry (Uppsala, Sweden). The reactions were performed in sealed microwave process vials utilizing the standard level (300 W maximum power). Reaction times under microwave irradiation conditions reflected the time that the reaction mixture was kept at the designated temperature (fixed hold time). Typically, a mixture of o -aminoarylketone (1a/1b) (1 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (2a)/ethyl benzoylacetate (2e) (1 mmol), and $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ (0.2 mmol) in a sealed 10-mL Emrys reaction vial was irradiated at 160 °C for a given time, then the sample was cooled using compressed air. The work-up procedure followed was the same as that in a SANYO EM-350S microwave oven.

4.4. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 4 with conventional heating

A mixture of o -aminoarylketone $(1a/1b)$ $(1 mmol)$, ethyl acetoacetate $(2a)$ /ethyl benzoylacetate $(2e)$ (1 mmol), and $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ (0.2 mmol) was introduced into a test tube (10 mL) and stirred at 160 °C (oil bath temperature) for the designated time. After the reaction was completed (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was separated by column chromatography to give the pure product.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20125205 and 20321101) and Anhui Provincial Bureau of Human Resources (2001Z019).

References and notes

- 1. Chung, H. S.; Woo, W. S. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 1579.
- 2. Ito, C.; Itoigawa, M.; Furukawa, A.; Hirano, T.; Murata, T.; Kaneda, N.; Hisada, Y.; Okuda, K.; Furukawa, H. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 1800.
- 3. He, J.; Lion, U.; Sattler, I.; Gollmick, F. A.; Grabley, S.; Cai, J.; Meiners, M.; Schünke, H.; Schaumann, K.; Dechert, U.; Krohn, M. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 1397.
- 4. Beier, N.; Labitzke, E.; Mederski, W. W. K. R.; Radunz, H.-E.; Schneider, B. Heterocycles 1994, 39, 117.
- 5. Hicks, C. A.; Ward, M. A.; Ragumoorthy, N.; Ambler, S. J.; Dell, C. P.; Dobson, D.; O'Neill, M. J. Brain Res. 1999, 819, 65.
- 6. Mederski, W. W. K. R.; Osswald, M.; Dorsch, D.; Christadler, M.; Schmitges, C.-J.; Wilm, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1997, 7, 1883.
- 7. Chen, K.; Kuo, S.-C.; Hsieh, M.-C.; Mauger, A.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E.; Lee, K.-H. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 2266.
- 8. Huang, L.-J.; Hsieh, M.-C.; Teng, C.-M.; Lee, K.-H.; Kuo, S.-C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1998, 6, 1657.
- 9. (a) Sliskovic, D. R.; Picard, J. A.; Roark, W. H.; Roth, B. D.; Ferguson, E.; Krause, B. R.; Newton, R. S.; Sekerke, C.; Shaw, M. K. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 367; (b) Suzuki, M.; Iwasaki, H.; Fujikawa, Y.; Kitahara, M.; Sakashita, M.; Sakoda, R. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2001, 9, 2727; (c) Bach, T.; Bergmann, H.; Grosch, B.; Harms, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7982; (d) Fujita, R.; Oikawa, K.; Yoshisuji, T.; Okuyama, Y.; Nakano, H.; Matsuzaki, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2003, 51, 295; (e) Kumabea, R.; Nishino, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 703; (f) Morel, A. F.; Larghi, E. L.; Selvero, M. M. Synlett 2005, 2755.
- 10. (a) Kaslow, C. E.; Cook, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1945, 67, 1969; (b) Staskun, B. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 1153; (c) Marull, M.; Lefebvre, O.; Schlosser, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 54.
- 11. Cortese, N. A.; Ziegler, C. B., Jr.; Hrnjez, B. J.; Heck, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2952.
- 12. Terpko, M. O.; Heck, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 5281.
- 13. (a) Sire, M. M.; Lee, C. L.; Ganesan, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6399; (b) Watson, B. T.; Christiansen, G. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9839.
- 14. (a) Lange, J. H. M.; Verveer, P. C.; Osnabrug, S. J. M.; Visser, G. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 1367; (b) Gorobets, N. Y.; Yousefi, B. H.; Belaj, F.; Kappe, C. O. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 8633.
- 15. (a) Joseph, B.; Darro, F.; Béhard, A.; Lesur, B.; Collignon, F.; Decaestecker, C.; Frydman, A.; Guillaumet, G.; Kiss, R. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 2543; (b) Kulkarni, B. A.; Ganesan, A. Chem. Commun. 1998, 785.
- 16. (a) Hewawasam, P.; Fan, W.; Knipe, J.; Moon, S. L.; Boissard, C. G.; Gribkoff, V. K.; Starrett, J. E., Jr. Bioorg. Med. Chem.

Lett. 2002, 12, 1779; (b) Batanero, B.; Barba, F. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 3706; (c) Marcaccini, S.; Pepino, R.; Pozo, M. C.; Basurto, S.; García-Valverde, M.; Torroba, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 3999.

- 17. (a) Kadnikov, D. V.; Larock, R. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 687, 425; (b) Kadnikov, D. V.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6772.
- 18. Manley, P. J.; Bilodeau, M. T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2433.
- 19. Banwell, M. G.; Lupton, D. W.; Ma, X.; Renner, J.; Sydnes, M. O. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2741.
- 20. Kobayashi, K.; Kitamura, T.; Yoneda, K.; Morikawa, O.; Konishi, H. Chem. Lett. 2000, 798.
- 21. Basavaiah, D.; Reddy, R. M.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Sharada, D. S. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 3693.
- 22. Mitsos, C. A.; Zografos, A. L.; Igglessi-Markopoulou, O. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4567.
- 23. (a) Caddick, S. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 10403; (b) Loupy, A.; Petit, A.; Hamelin, J.; Texier-Boullet, F.; Jacquault, P.; Mathé, D. Synthesis 1998, 1213; (c) Varma, R. S. Green Chem. 1999, 43; (d) Lidström, P.; Tierney, J.; Wathey, B.; Westman, J. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 9225; (e) Kappe, C. O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6250.
- 24. Marotta, E.; Foresti, E.; Marcelli, T.; Peri, F.; Righi, P.; Scardovi, N.; Rosini, G. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4451.
- 25. Bartoli, G.; Bartolacci, M.; Giuliani, A.; Marcantoni, E.; Massaccesi, M.; Torregiani, E. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 169.
- 26. Plietker, B.; Niggemann, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2402.
- 27. Li, W.-D. Z.; Peng, Y. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3069.
- 28. Bose, D. S.; Kumar, R. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 813.
- 29. (a) Fehnel, E. A.; Deyrup, J. A.; Davidson, M. B. J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 1996; (b) Huffman, K. R.; Burger, M.; Henderson, W. A., Jr.; Loy, M.; Ullman, E. F. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2407; (c) Szmuszkovicz, J.; Baczynskyj, L.; Chidester, C. C.; Duchamp, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1743.
- 30. Al-Omran, F.; Khalik, M. M. A.; Al-Awadhi, H.; Elnagdi, M. H. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 11915.
- 31. (a) Zhang, Z.; Dong, Y.-W.; Wang, G.-W.; Komatsu, K. Synlett 2004, 61; (b) Zhang, Z.; Dong, Y.-W.; Wang, G.-W.; Komatsu, K. Chem. Lett. 2004, 33, 168; (c) Zhang, Z.; Wang, G.-W.; Miao, C.-B.; Dong, Y.-W.; Shen, Y.-B. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1832; (d) Zhang, Z.; Gao, J.; Xia, J.-J.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 1617; (e) Jia, C.-S.; Zhang, Z.; Tu, S.-J.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 104.
- 32. Suzuki, M.; Tanikawa, K.; Sakoda, R. Heterocycles 1999, 50, 479.